

Date & Time:	17AUG2023 @ 1802			
Location:	Oxford Town Hall, Meeting room			
Meeting Details	☐ Special Session			
	□ Regular Meeting □			
Attendees	AVEO PV X Derreck Brown, Chair David Quinn, Vice Chair X Lisa Schon, Secretary ☑ Dickon Housman, Treasurer Carla Pruett-DuBois, Member ☑ Jim Branch, Member ☑ Lillie Armstrong, Member ☑ Lillie Armstrong, Member ☑ Cheryl Hart, Oxford City Planning Office Invitees J. Williamson, COA Applicant Guillermo Nurse, COA Applicant Emigdio & Kimberly Castro COA Applicant Lillie Armstrong, COA Applicant Lillie Armstrong, COA Applicant			
Call to Order/Time	1802 by Derreck Brown, Chair			
Attachments/Links	None	None		
Approval of Meeting Minutes	Date of Minutes: 17AUG2023 Motion to Approve: Jim Branch Second: Dickon Housman Outcome: JUL23 minutes approved			
Ethics and Conduct Statement	Read by: Lisa Schon, Lillie Armstrong, Jim Branch Commission Members understand and agree			
Derreck Brown, Chair, provided the swearing in of the homeowners or representatives for his/her COA presentation. No conflicts stated none declared				

COA Application #1				
Property Address: 515 College Stee	Property Address: 515 College Steet, Dorsey Brown-Floyd House, Italianate, circa 1880s			
Property Type: x Contributing Resid	Property Type: x Contributing Residential □ Non-Contributing Residential □ Commercial			
Sworn Stakeholders: Mr. J. Willams	son, along with Mr. Bullock (c	ontractor)		
HPC Conflicts of Interest declared: ⊠ None □ Other:				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION Factors Considered* ** Outcome				
Remove/Replace House & Shop Setback/placement of Approved as below				
roofs damaged by wind structure				



Remove/Replace Porch roof	Exterior Construction		
damaged by wind	Materials		
	Exterior Colors		
	Architectural Details		
	Roof (shape/form/materials)	1.C	
	Doors/Windows/Fenestration		
	General Form and		
	Proportion		
	Appurtenant Fixtures		
	Structural Conditions		
	Trees		

^{*}See attached HPC worksheet for full details of factors considered

NC= Not congruent with Historic Aspects for Historic District

NA= This project does not impact this factor

Discussion:

Applicant submitted NTS Quote and specifications

- Proposed shingle is to be as close to slate as possible without being slate
- Matte, black vs. shiny black, more congruent with guidelines
 - o Only on porch
 - Several homes have raised seam metal roofs on porch
 - Contractor provided pictures of existing home
 - Current shingle is no longer produced
 - Contractor sent existing shingle to CertainTeed as well as third party vendor to identify
 - Confirmed that shingle is no longer available so unable to use "existing material"
- Current shingles are CertainTeed Independence
- CertainTeed shows current best match is Belmont shingle
- Pictures/sample provided and reviewed
- Dickon inquired as to spacing of raised seam roof
- Contractor unsure, but relayed that there are several homes on College Street with the raised seam metal
- Serrated metal roof edges would be more commercial in nature and would not adhere to guidelines
- Derreck: Current roof is consistent with shingles throughout, why the change?
 - Homeowner preference is to "make it look like it probably looked 100 years ago"
 - Homeowner feels it will look "nicer"
 - Change from gray to black is personal preference of homeowner
- Contractor confirmed that the proposed shingle is as close to real slate as possible currently without being true slate
- Derreck asked Cheryl to confirm is previous homes with raised seam roofs on porch came before HPC for COA approval
 - Cheryl could not recall
 - Homeowner unable to provide exact address of other homes
- Pictorial evidence reviewed
- Slate size is not exact size of current shingles although not the same material
- Difference: Proposed shingle is 3-tab shingle and not a mix of cedar and slate, more durable and easier to repair if needed
- Dickon: If changing materials, would need to be a "step up" from current
- · Whirly bird vent currently on roof, will be replaced with new

^{**} C= Congruent with Historic Aspects for Historic District



Proposed Project Details	Guideline Cited by Applicant	Guideline as per HPC	Decision of HPC
Remove/Replace House & Shop roof damaged by wind	5.100, 5.102, 5.105, 5.106, 5.201, 5.203	5.100, 5.102, 5.201, 5.203	Motion to approve to: Lisa Schon Second: Dickon Housman Approved by HPC
Remove/Replace Porch roof damaged by wind	None provided	Same as above	

Deliberation:

- Lillie: 5.201 refers to dormers, requested contractor to confirm as home has gables- contractor confirmed
- Dickon confirmed that home does not have fennels (5.105)
- Color change from gray to black confirmed

COA # 2 Application Review

Property Address: 112 West Front Street, Watkins Harris House, Italianate, circa 1880s
Property Type: ⊠ Contributing Residential □ Non-Contributing Residential □ Commercial

Sworn Stakeholders: Guillermo Nurse

HCO Conflicts of Interest declared: ⊠ None □ Other:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Factors Considered*	**	Outcome
Restore property to original	Setback/placement of		Approved by HPC
structure by building front porch,	structure		
in accordance with photo and	Exterior Construction	1.C	
engineered drawings (same	Materials		
proposed for several repairs)	Exterior Colors	1.C	
	Architectural Details		
	Roof (shape/form/materials)	1.C	
	Doors/Windows/Fenestration		
	General Form and		
	Proportion		
	Appurtenant Fixtures		
	Structural Conditions		
	Trees		

^{*}See attached HPC worksheet for full details of factors considered

NC= Not congruent with Historic Aspects for Historic District

NA= This project does not impact this factor

Discussion:

- Applicant agreed as per JUL2023 HPC meeting to bring COA back to HPC for review with additional details previously requested
- Construction diagrams provided by Applicant
- Pictorial evidence of historical home provided by Applicant from 1947 which show original condition of house which included a front porch
- Applicant: in areas of drawing where ten-by-ten is referenced, should be ten-by-eight which considers the current set back of the property
- With respect to cinder block underpinning of porch, cinder blocks will be stucco
- Lighting pictures reviewed

^{**} C= Congruent with Historic Aspects for Historic District



I =	17AUG2023		T
Proposed Project Details	Guideline Cited by Applicant	Guideline as per HPC	Decision of HPC
Restore property to original structure by building front porch, in accordance with photo and engineered drawings (current materials-none; proposed materials pressure treated pine lumber)-rebuild porch (3.75 x 8 ft long tongue & groove boards)	7.100, 7.106, 7.203	7.106, 7.203, 3.14	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Lillie Armstrong Approved by HPC
Restore property to original structure by building front porch, in accordance with photo and engineered drawings-Install mill brick facer brick to porch	3.100, 3.103, 3.104, 5.303	3.100, 3.103	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Lillie Armstrong Approved by HPC
Restore property to original structure by building front porch, in accordance with photo and engineered drawings-replace existing columns to closely match current architecture	N/A	3.104	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Lillie Armstrong Approved by HPC
Restore property to original structure by building front porch, in accordance with photo and engineered drawings (current materials-none; proposed materials lighting)	16.100	16.100	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Lillie Armstrong Approved by HPC
Painting of porch same as existing trim & paint ceiling SC-140W	N/A	3.104, 7.106	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Lillie Armstrong Approved by HPC
Install lighting consistent with the proposed application picture		7.107, 16.104	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Lillie Armstrong Approved by HPC
Install aluminum k-style gutter & down spouts LeafFilter Brand		3.104, 5.103	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Lillie Armstrong Approved by HPC



	177.002020	
Install new header to match header detail	3.100, 7.106	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman
shown in application		Second: Lillie
photo		Armstrong
		Approved by HPC

Deliberation:

- Columns will be cast as non-load bearing, to resemble historical columns most closely
- Structural review continues to be outside HPC jurisdiction, not reviewed
- Porch ceiling material and color
- · Color will not be different from existing color, will be as close to current as possible
- Porch wrap picture provided is manufacturer depiction, applicant will attempt to get as close to historical accuracy as possible
- Lighting reviewed
- Pictorial evidence reviewed, included lighting
- Shingle sample not provided; however proposed shingle is the same in size. proportion and color, CertainTeed, 3-tab architectural shingle
 - Current= 20-year shingle, proposed= 30-year shingle
- Cheryl confirmed that porch set back has to be ten feet, applicant agreed
 - Not reviewed, not under HPC authority
- Gutters will be aluminum, not vinyl
- Applicant to continue to work with Planning Office on structural aspects not under HPC authority

COA Application #3

Property Address: 109 W. Front Street, Easton Hancock House, Colonial/bungalow circa 1915
Property Type: x Contributing Residential ☐ Non-Contributing Residential ☐ Commercial
Sworn Stakeholders: John Hancock
HCO Conflicts of Interest declared: ⊠ None □ Other:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Factors Considered*	**	Outcome
Build Free Standing Pavilion 20 x	Setback/placement of		Approved as below
15 to be located at the rear of the	structure		
home. The pavilion will sit on	Exterior Construction	1.C	
existing patio.	Materials		
	Exterior Colors		
	Architectural Details		
	Roof (shape/form/materials)		
	Doors/Windows/Fenestration		
	General Form and		
	Proportion		
	Appurtenant Fixtures		
	Structural Conditions		
	Trees		

^{*}See attached HPC worksheet for full details of factors considered

NC= Not congruent with Historic Aspects for Historic District

NA= This project does not impact this factor

Discussion:

Picture provided of current patio

^{**} C= Congruent with Historic Aspects for Historic District



- Will not be attached to home
- Applicant did research, American Landscape Structures is among highest rated providers
- Will be assembled by local contractors
- Specifications provided along with site drawing of property
- Multi- tiered
- Per guidelines, structure must not be higher than the first-floor roof (site-line)
 - Sunroom on the back of the house may be below vaulting of proposed pavilion but not visible from the street
 - Proportions are correct/acceptable
 - Columns are composite material
 - o Proposed structure is in back of home
 - Composite looks like wood (to mimic columns in front of home)

Will be secured to existing patio

O WIII DO GOGGI GO GX			
Proposed Project Details	Guideline Cited by	Guideline as per HPC	Decision of HPC
	Applicant		
Build Free Standing Pavilion 20 x 15 to be located at the rear of the home. The pavilion will sit on existing patio.	9.108, 9.109	9.108, 9.109	Motion to approve to: Lillie Armstrong Second: Lisa Schon Approved by HPC
on existing patio.			*Post vote note: Applicant relayed that home was built in 1904, however COA states 1915, Lisa Schon to make note

Deliberation: No further deliberation was required

COA Application #4

Property Address: 217 College St., Watkins Family House, Colonial Revival, circa 1900's Property Type: ⊠ Contributing Residential □Non-Contributing Residential □ Commercial

Sworn Stakeholders: Emigdio & Kimberly Castro HCO Conflicts of Interest declared: ⊠ None □ Other:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Factors Considered*	**	Outcome
1. Repair roof & soffit, paint the same color 3 seal	Setback/placement of structure		Approved as below
2. Repair and replace rotten wood siding3. Repair rotten wood on the left	Exterior Construction Materials	2,3,4,5,6,7,8, 9, 13, 17, 18, 19.C	
side of balcony & restore metal floor	Exterior Colors Architectural Details	2, 9.C 10.C	
4. Repair chimneys with same mortar	Roof (shape/form/materials) Doors/Windows/Fenestration	8. C	
5. Repair ceiling & posts on balcony & porch pillars & stairs 6. Replace hidden gutters with	General Form and Proportion		
half round metal gutters	Appurtenant Fixtures Structural Conditions		
	Trees		



	17AUG2023	
7. Repair foundation cracks with		
same material		
8. Repair windows & doors &		
trim		
9. Garage workshop will be		
repaired and repainted		
10. Install HVAC unit in rear		
11. Iron fence will be put back on		
properly		
12. Driveway & walkway will be		
properly cleaned up & stay as		
original		
13. Original light fixtures will be		
put on		
14. Hand scraping old paint		
15. Show-bound Sherwin		
Williams for exterior		
16. Color palate would be natural		
brick & whites		
17. Front door pained brown		
satin water based (English Oak) 18. Remove paint from		
foundation & revert to original		
brick		
19. Repair or replace glass from		
windows		
*all proposed materials for items		
existing are same material for		
same material		

*See attached HPC worksheet for full details of factors considered

** C= Congruent with Historic Aspects for Historic District

NC= Not congruent with Historic Aspects for Historic District

NA= This project does not impact this factor

Discussion:

- Applicant relayed that hidden gutters are very damage
- Applicant relayed that existing roof is very damaged
 - Repair required only; sealant required
 - o Requires some replacement tin shingles
- Foundation is not originally painted white, will go back to natural brick
- Garage or workshop refers to repairing the garage door
 - Includes painting of structure
- Fence item not addressed- not adding or removing, just repairing and placing
- Hidden autters
 - o Current guidelines prefer ½ round gutters, most applicants chose k-style gutters
 - o Moving away from hidden gutters due to extensive rot/disrepair as shown in pictorial evidence
 - 5.200 states that existing hidden gutters must have documentation of failure which was provided (pictorial evidence)
 - Dickon provided drawing to depict current industry standards to the best of his knowledge to include transition from roof to new k-style gutters with respect to tin shingles



- o Downspouts confirmed to be round
- Commission requested roof plan to align down spout placement applicant agreed
- o Gutters can be reviewed, down spouts can not
- Commission also requested gutter/down spout colors as well
- Driveway is currently shared (driveway to left in pictorial evidence)
- Applicant asked to provide Cheryl with all pictures
- Driveway is maintenance, not reviewed
- Foundation mortar must be congruent with page 44
 - Exposure is a factor in the type of mortar selected

0

- Hand scraping paint, sand blasting not allowed
- Replacement of wood siding is wood for wood
- Glass replacement reviewed to ensure that those original windows would not be replaced, just broken or missing glass panes

HVAC unit approved for placement on right hand side of home previously, not done

o If placed on right side of home, must have screening vegetation

Proposed Project Details	Guideline Cited by Applicant	Guideline as per HPC	Decision of HPC
Repair roof & soffit, paint the same color & seal	5.100, 5.101	5.100, 5.101	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Repair and replace rotten wood siding	1.100-1.105, 2.100-2.104, 5.107	1.100, 1.102, 1.105, 1.106, 2.102, 2.103, 2.104, 5.107	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Repair rotten wood on the left side of balcony & restore metal floor	7.103, 7.104	7.103, 7.104	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Repair chimneys with same mortar	3.100-3.105, 3.107	3.100, 3.101, 3.102, 3.1033.104, 3.105, 5.107	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Repair ceiling & posts on balcony & porch pillars & stairs	7.100-7.104	7.100, 7.101, 7.102,7.103, 7.104	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Replace hidden gutters with half round metal gutters	5.200	5.200	Down Spouts- Commission requested either picture indicating where downspouts would be placed (SEP2023)
Repair foundation cracks with same material	3.104, 3.102, 3.100	1.104, 3.100, 3.102, 3.100, 3.103	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Repair windows & doors & trim	6.100, 6.101, 6.103	6.100, 6.101, 6.103	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman



	17AUG202	<u> </u>	
			Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Garage workshop door will be repaired and repainted (white to white)	9.100, 9.103, 9.105	9.100, 9.105, 1.106, 1.202 *concentrating on door	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Install HVAC unit in rear *more than 50% back from home with vegetation or appropriate fencing	8.100, 8.101, 8.104	8.100, 8.101 * previously approved for side of home, but not done	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Iron fence will be put back on properly	14.100, 14.102	Not reviewed	N/A
Driveway & walkway will be properly cleaned up & stay as original	13.100, 13.104, 13.102	Not reviewed	N/A
Original light fixtures will be put on	16.100, 16.101, 16.103	16.100, 16.101, 16.103 *clarified that lights are exterior	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Hand scraping old paint	1.201	1.201	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Show-bound Sherwin Williams for exterior (white)	N/A	Not reviewed, like for like	N/A
Color palate would be natural brick & whites (white for white)	4.100-4.102	4.100, 4.101, 4.102	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Front door painted brown satin water based (English Oak)	4.100-4.102	4.100-4.102 *color swatch not provided but shown from online	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Remove paint from foundation & revert to original brick	1.201, 3.104	1.201, 3.104 *picture to be sent to Cheryl	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC
Repair or replace glass from windows	6.104, 6.103	6.104, 6.103 *missing or broken panes only	Motion to approve to: Dickon Housman Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC

Deliberation:

No further deliberation required

COA Application #5

Property Address: 417 Goshen Street, Bryant Kingsbury House, circa 1926, Georgian Traditional Federal Property Type: ⊠ Contributing Residential □ Non-Contributing Residential □ Commercial



Sworn Stakeholders: Lillie Armstrong
HCO Conflicts of Interest declared: ⊠ None □ Other:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Factors Considered*	**	Outcome
Addition of wagon round	Setback/placement of		Approved as below
chimney caps to three main	structure		
chimneys	Exterior Construction		
	Materials		
	Exterior Colors	1.C	
	Architectural Details		
	Roof (shape/form/materials)		
	Doors/Windows/Fenestration		
	General Form and		
	Proportion		
	Appurtenant Fixtures		
	Structural Conditions		
	Trees		

^{*}See attached HPC worksheet for full details of factors considered

NC= Not congruent with Historic Aspects for Historic District

NA= This project does not impact this factor

Discussion:

- No current chimney caps
- Pictorial evidence provided
 - o Includes pictures of other existing wagon round chimney caps

Mark at library was unable to find any pictures of any existing chimney caps

Proposed Project Details	Guideline Cited by Applicant	Guideline as per HPC	Decision of HPC
Addition of wagon round chimney caps to three main chimneys	5.106, 5.107, 5.204	5.106, 5.107, 5.204	Motion to approve to: Lisa Schon Second: Jim Branch Approved by HPC

Deliberation:

None required

Old Business		
Topic	Discussion	Outcome
Treasurer's Report	Dickon needs current financial report	Dickon has not yet met with Derreck to review, to meet with Derreck to review and present at next meeting
All other old business tabled		
New Business		
Topic	Discussion	Outcome
PARKING LOT		
None		

^{**} C= Congruent with Historic Aspects for Historic District



ADJOURNED: 1951

MOTION TO ADJOURN: Lisa Schon

SECOND: Lillie Armstrong

DATE OF NEXT MEETING: 21SEP2023 MINUTES PREPARED BY: Carla Pruett-DuBois